

Annex F: Evaluation and Monitoring of the Hazard Mitigation Plan

I. Purpose.

To provide a format for the evaluation of and monitoring of the hazard mitigation plan.

II. Situation and Assumptions.

A. As a minimum, county agencies and the municipalities included in this plan will review and revise the Hazard Mitigation Plan every five years. The revised plan will be submitted to the NCEM Hazard Mitigation Officer for review.

B. This evaluation and revision of the plan will help ensure that local mitigation efforts include the latest and most effective mitigation techniques. Periodic revisions may also be necessary to keep the Cabarrus County plan in compliance with federal and state statutes and regulations. Additional development, implementation of mitigation efforts, development of new mitigation processes, and changes in federal and state statutes and regulations may all affect the local hazard mitigation plan.

III. Concept.

A. Criteria for Review of the Hazard Mitigation Plan

1. Do the goals and objectives still address current and expected conditions?
2. Has the nature or magnitude of the risks changed?
3. Are the current resources appropriate for implementing the plan?
4. Are there any problems in implementing the plan? (technical, legal, political, coordination with other agencies).
5. Have the results occurred as expected?
6. Did all agencies and municipalities participate as proposed?

B. In the context of a federal disaster declaration, state and local governments are allowed to update or expand an existing plan to reflect circumstances arising out of the disaster. An updated plan in this circumstance might include a re-evaluation of the hazards and the jurisdiction's exposure to them, a re-assessment of existing mitigation capabilities, and new or additional mitigation recommendations.

C. Evaluation and Monitoring Form (overleaf)

Cabarrus County Hazard Mitigation Plan Evaluation and Monitoring

Part I. Flood Mitigation Monitoring

Jurisdiction	Number of new structures built in floodplain	Percent increase or decrease in floodplain development	Percent increase or decrease in flood insurance policies in force	Flood damage information recorded and mapped for jurisdiction	Number of structures in SFHA damaged from flood event and Damage cost estimate	Number of flood damaged structures outside of SFHA and Damage cost estimate	Number of repetitive damage structures	Latest flood prevention ordinance adopted	NFIP Number	Based on new knowledge of actual occurrence of flood events and damage. Recommend further review of plan and revise or amend as necessary
Cabarrus County										
Mt Pleasant										
Harrisburg										
Midland										
TOTAL										
Comments and Recommendations:										

Cabarrus County Hazard Mitigation Plan Evaluation and Monitoring

Part II: Other Hazards

	Number of wind damaged structures damaged	Average cost of damage	Number of structures damaged by winter storms	Average cost of damage	Problems or Considerations
Cabarrus County					
Mt. Pleasant					
Harrisburg					
Midland					
TOTAL					
Has there been progress toward attainment of the hazard mitigation goals? YES NO					

Part 3: Conclusions and Recommendations

Summary of Findings

Recommendations

This monitoring tool analyzes the progress Cabarrus County and its municipalities have made in implementing hazard mitigation strategies to protect property and persons from the impact of natural hazards. This evaluation will be sent to each jurisdiction for use and consideration in their review of municipal hazard mitigation strategies.

This evaluation was conducted by _____ Date _____

This page intentionally left blank.